## RESEARCH-BASED TEACHING IMPROVEMENT PRACTICES: DEVELOPING A MARKETING PROGRAM AUDIT

Paul Hugstad, California State University, Fullerton

A great deal of attention has recently been focused upon the deficiencies of the American educational system at all levels. Out of this concern has emerged a series of research studies and commission reports dealing with the causes and cures of this educational malaise.

Research identifies seven key factors as contributors to the success of teaching programs. Each of these seven areas can be operationalized as a series of questions against which to evaluate a given marketing department's program.

Following is an example of the types of diagnostic questions which could focus a department's self-analysis on a series of specific issues in each of these seven areas and suggest areas of strength and weakness.

This method of program analysis can prove helpful at not only identifying relevant program improvement areas, but can also facilitate the establishment of concrete objectives to change and improve key program areas.

In addition, such an analysis is useful for communicating program missions, strengths, and needs to important constituencies outside the immediate department - such as students, the administration, and the business community.

## MARKETING PROGRAM AUDIT

- I. Academic Learning Time
  Is class time presently being effectively
  utilized?
  Are students (and professors) sufficiently
  organized and prepared for class?
  Do students have sufficient time (in and
  out of class) to complete assignments?
  Is enough time devoted to student counseling
  outside of class?
  Are professors allowed sufficient time for
  course development?
- II. Program Mission
  Is the mission of your program well defined
  and clearly understood by faculty? By students? By campus administrators? By the
  business community?
  Have specific program goals and objectives
  been established? Are they tied directly
  to course content and text selection, and
  teaching mode?
- III. Teaching Techniques Are your teaching methods appropriate to your program goals? Do you allow for a variety of learning styles within each course?

How are appropriate performance expectations communicated to your students?

Do you have a systematic teacher development program in place?

- IV. Instructional Leadership
  Is the chair of your department an active
  central leader in program design and
  evaluation?
  Is your course/curriculum development
  process effective?
  Are multiple sources of rewards used to
  heighten teaching performance (promotion,
  salary adjustment, peer recognition, release
  time for development)?
- V. Evaluation Systems
  Does your teacher evaluation process include
  multiple measures of performance (peer evaluation, chair visitations, self evaluation,
  student questionnaires)?
  Are teaching evaluations used for constructive and timely feedback to individual
  faculty and students?
  Do teaching evaluations play an important
  role in tenure and promotion decisions?
  Are students evaluated by multiple measures?
  Have norms and standard measures of effective teaching and student learning been
  developed by the department?
- VI. Collegiality
  Are the goals and objectives of your program
  shared by all faculty?
  Do staff and students feel a part of the
  educational process and important members
  of the learning environment?
  Do faculty share ideas and techniques for
  improved teaching with one another?
  Is there an adequate level of student —
  faculty interaction both in and outside of
  the classroom?
  Do you have faculty and/or student lounges
  set aside for informal interaction?

## VII. Resources Is the physical classroom environment condusive to effective teaching? Is your faculty/student ratio appropriate for your teaching mode(s) and program objectives? Do you make effective use of teaching technologies such as computer-aided learning, video labs and teleconferencing? Do you have sufficient budget monies for supporting class field trips, purchasing classroom teaching materials, staff and faculty development? Are your faculty salaries and recruiting budget competitive?