IS THE UNIVERSITY REWARD SYSTEM UNDERMINING THE INSTITUTIONAL MISSION STATEMENT?

Panel Members

Panel Chair:

Debra A. Haley, Ph.D.

Southeastern Oklahoma State

University

Panel Participants:

George Belch, Ph.D. San Diego State University Dennis E. Clayson, Ph.D. Montana State University Craig Kelley, Ph.D. California State University at

Sacramento

Micol Maughan, Ph.D. Fort Hays State University

Purpose

Universities across the nation have invested considerable resources in the development of mission statements and leadership programs. Does the allocation of monetary resources support the mission statements developed by administrators, faculty, students, and the community? Many times we must conclude that rewards are quantitatively based while our goals remain qualitatively stated and measured.

Rationale and Format

The panel members will address a select group of stakeholders in the university arena and frame the major conflicts resulting when monetary resources do not support or reward stated mission goals and objectives. This forum will provide considerable opportunity for audience participants to share their concerns and proposed solutions. An example of the breadth of the issues the panel is committed to addressing is highlighted in the following:

Stakeholders

Administrators *University *School Faculty Students Community

Community

Business Community

Business Community

Stakeholders	Criteria Desire to be Judged by
Administrators *University	Quality (on all fronts)
*School	
Faculty	Excellence in Teaching and
	Research Services
Students	"Uniqueness" "Special"

Close Ties or No Ties

Being "supportive"

Stakeholders Rewarded by

Administrators **Body Count** *University *School

Faculty Efficiencies not Effectiveness Students Grade→ Job not Knowledge

Cultural or Athletic Events Community **Business Community Benefits Exceeding Costs**